

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH BOHEMIA IN ČESKÉ BUDĚJOVICE
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
DEPARTMENT OF ECOSYSTEM BIOLOGY

Review of supervisor on bachelor thesis

Name of thesis: Importance of denitrifying microorganisms in terrestrial ecosystems – focus on soil micromycetes

Author: Lukáš Rottner

When I started writing this review I felt that it will be difficult, because I am not used to write bad reviews. I had to go really into the past in my email correspondence and found out that Lukáš started with Bachelor thesis already in 2010. Our first idea was that Lukáš will do not only the critical theoretical review, but also laboratory cultivations of selected fungal isolates which have ability of denitrification. However, experimental part was far beyond the Lukáš time schedule therefore during the end of summer semester 2010 we decided that the thesis will be only theoretical. Then after Lukáš left to Linz there was long silence till summer semester 2011 when Lukáš send the basic point of his thesis, then it was again silence till December 2011. And this goes on and on, sometimes Lukáš wrote email that he is working on theses than again silence for several months. Then in summer semester 2012 Lukáš sent me the thesis 3 weeks before the deadline without any consultation before. I rejected the defense and then again in next semester because the situation was the same or even worse.

The main problem with Lukáš is that he does not communicate and as he does not have good knowledge background. He did not consult often the progress of his work, articles and interpretation of what he learned from literature. He did not listen much to my recommendations during the writing and when I recommend him to totally rewrite thesis and start with new summary I found that he just changed the order of chapters and slightly reformulated sentences and mixed-up paragraphs. These non logic changes in writing and mixing different paragraphs resulted in overall decreasing quality of his theses over time. The result you can see and from my point of view the thesis is on very low level. Lukáš also did in his thesis many formal mistakes, one final example for all was the wrong name of University and name of supervisor which he had to reprint again.

However I am not reviewer but I have to say that for my short 7 year supervising of 15 bachelor, 5 master and 1 Ph.D. theses, Lukáš is the worst student I had.

After very long thinking I recommend Lukáš thesis for defense, but I have to say for the first time that I am not proud.



Ing. Jiří Bárta, Ph.D.

In České Budějovice,
17.6.2014