

Přírodovědecká Jihočeská univerzita fakulta v Českých Budějovicích Faculty University of South Bohemia of Science in České Budějovice

STATEMENT OF THE BACHELOR/DIPLOMA* THESIS REVIEWER

Name of the student:

Eva Záhorská

Thesis title:

Role of adipokinetic hormone PERAM-CAH-II in insect reproduction

Supervisor:

Prof. RNDr. Dalibor Kodrík, CSc.

Reviewer:

Doc. RNDr. Magdalena Hodková, CSc.

Reviewer` affiliation:

Entomologický ústav ČSAV

Extent of the thesis (for bachelor theses min. 18 pages, for masters these min. 25 pages), balanced length of the thesis parts (recommended length of the theoretical part is max. 1/3 of the total length), logical structure of the thesis quality of the theoretical part (review) (number and relevancy of the references, recency of the references) and the references (presence of uncited sources, uniform style of the references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations) and abbreviations and subreviations and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology attention of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) are requirements – points in total and subreviation and conclusions and conclusions and conclusions and conclusions are results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions and conclusions are completeness of the description of the used techniques are specimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work and specimental data presentation are specimental work and speciments and the recommendation and conclusions are specimental data presentation and speciments and the part of the specimental work are specimental data presentation and conclusions are specimental work and specimental data presentation are specimental work and specimental work are specimental work and specimental data presentation are specimental work and specimental data presentation are specimental work and specimental data presentation are specimental data presentation and specimental data presentation are sp		Point scale ¹	Points
balanced length of the thesis parts (recommended length of the theoretical part is max. 1/3 of the total length), logical structure of the thesis quality of the theoretical part (review) (number and relevancy of the references, recency of the references) references (presence of uncited sources, uniform style of the references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations) Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables 0-3 3 Quality of the annotation 0-3 3 Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology 0-3 3 Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total 21 (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims 0-3 3 Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work 0-3 3 Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work 0-3 3 Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3	(1) FORMAL REQUIREMENTS		
Accuracy in citing of the references (presence of uncited sources, uniform style of the references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations) Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables Quality of the annotation Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Puality of experimental data presentation O-3 3 Cuality of experimental data presentation	balanced length of the thesis parts (recommended length of the theoretical part is max. 1/3	0-3	3
references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations) Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables 0-3 3 Quality of the annotation 0-3 3 Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology 0-3 3 Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total 21 (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims 0-3 3 Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work 0-3 3 Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work 0-3 2 Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3		0-3	3
Quality of the annotation 0-3 3 Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology 0-3 3 Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total 21 (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims 0-3 3 Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work 0-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques 0-3 3 Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work 0-3 2 Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3		0-3	3
Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total 21 (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims O-3 Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work O-3 Quality of experimental data presentation O-3 3	Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables	0-3	3
Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims O-3 Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work O-3 Quality of experimental data presentation 3 3 3 4 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Quality of the annotation	0-3	3
text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units) Formal requirements – points in total (2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation 21 22 23 24 Quality of experimental data presentation	Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology	0-3	3
(2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS Clarity and fulfillment of the aims Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3		0-3	3
Clarity and fulfillment of the aims Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3 Consider the results, one of the resu	Formal requirements – points in total		21
Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques O-3 3	(2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS		
discussion, and conclusions Discussion quality – interpretation of results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work 0-3 3 Completeness of the description of the used techniques 0-3 3 Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work 0-3 2 Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3	Clarity and fulfillment of the aims	0-3	3
(absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable) Logic in the course of the experimental work Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3		0-3	3
Completeness of the description of the used techniques Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 2		0-3	2
Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work O-3 Quality of experimental data presentation O-3 3	Logic in the course of the experimental work	0-3	3
Quality of experimental data presentation 0-3 3	Completeness of the description of the used techniques	0-3	3
	Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work	0-3	2
The use of up-to-date techniques 0-3 2	Quality of experimental data presentation	0-3	3
	The use of up-to-date techniques	0-3	2

^{*} Choose one

¹ Mark as: 0-unsatisfactory, 1-satisfactory, 2-average, 3-excellent.

1

Formal requirements - points in total

22

POINTS IN TOTAL (MAX/AWARDED)

48

43

Suggestions and questions, to which the student has to answer during the defense:

Are adipokinetic and allatostatic pathways controlling Vg independently or may they influence one another, for example by feedback action of Vg?

Vg was visualized by immunoblotting (control group). Quantification (and visualization) of Vg was performed on stained SDS-PAGE gels (all groups). Do you consider the quantification of Vg after immunoblotting less precise?

Eventual mistakes, which the students should avoid in the future:

- p. 10 Žitňan 1996 in the text, but Žitňan et al. in References
- p. 24 Vg increase is one day delayed in controls due to stress caused by Ringer injection rather than by hormone injection
- p. 24 dependent should be instead of depended denaturated should be instead of denatured
- p. 29 PYRRHOCORIS APTERUS (HETEROPTERA) in capitals

Eventual additional comments of the reviewer on the student and the thesis:

n is relatively small, particularly in case of Peran-CAH-II double injection group

Conclusion:

In conclusion, Eva Záhorská managed to realize two methods, SDS-PAGE electroforesis and immunoblotting and found similar suppressions of Vg synthesis by allatostatin and adipokinetic hormon in Pyrrhocoris apterus. The work is written in good English that merits praise.

I r e c o m m e n d the thesis for the defense and I suggest the grade 1²

In České Budějovice, 15.6.2014

M. Hodkova

You can suggest a grade, which can be modified during the defense based on the presentation. However, if the reviewer is not present at the defense, the grade will not be counted.