

Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student	Anna VONDRÁČKOVÁ
Qualification Work Title	Project risk management
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Škodová Parmová Dagmar, doc. Dr. Ing.
Reviewer	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.0

Note: The topic of risk-management in projects under the aspect of sustainability is very important.

2. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

Note: in the summary the methodological framework has been explained in a very clear way. I don't know whether different types of risk-management have been discussed in the thesis.

3. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: The author is able to identify a scale of risks and to develop solutions.

4. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: The author did an analysis of documents and an interview with the founder of the project. It would be important to know which documents had been analysed.

5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The work of the author can be a very valuable contribution to the development of the project

6. Handling of literature 3.0

Note: The list of references seems to be up to date. I miss the date of retrieval for the internet sources. I can not control the quality of the citations in the czech text, but I miss the indication of the pages in the citations.

7. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The summary is written in a good English and a clear scientific style. The form seems to be correct.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

I did my evaluation on the basis of the English summary. I have got a very good impression of the work. But I am not able to judge whether it may be excellent or good.

I miss the indication of the page in the citations. I don't know if there is a chapter which explains more explicitly the method used to identify the risks.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Wouldn't it be important to identify the risks from different points of view and not only through documents and one interview with the founder of the project.

Which methods do you know to identify risks in a project?

Date: Sep 05, 2018

Signature of reviewer