Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of

Student

Léa GOIZET

Qualification Work Title

Multilingualism and Interculturality in International or Interregional

Projects and Work Environments

Name and Type of Study

Programme

Regional and European Project Management / Navazující

Faculty / Department Ekonomická fakulta / KRM **Supervisor** Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.

Reviewer doc. Ing. Kamil Picha Ph.D.

Thesis evaluation

1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.5

Note: The topic is very interesting and topical. It is now strongly discussed. The difficulty depends on the environment, where the research is done.

2. Logical structure of the thesis 2.5

Note: The main structure is adequate. The theoretical background is not well structured, a discussion using empirical results and theoretical background is missing.

3. Fulfillment of objectives 4.0

Note: Research question is clearly formulated. The research question is not related enough with the objective set in the assignment of the master thesis. This why the objective could been fulfilled partially at the most

4. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: Adopted methods and techniques are adequate but not well managed.

5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.5

Note: There should be both theoretical and practical contribution. The conclusion miss the clear proposals for concrete persons, institutions or other organisms.

6. Handling of literature 2.0

Note: The number of sources is sufficient, but they are mostly French. A critical view of theoretical background is missing, there is no comparison or confrontation of the sources and approaches.

7. Formal aspects 2.0

Note: Part of cited sources is not mentioned in the list of references. Small mistakes in citing and referencing occur.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): good

I recommend the thesis for defence: YES

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The main issue I have with the thesis is the fulfillment of the objective. Already the research question turns all the thesis away from the intial objective mentioned in the assignment of the master thesis. The objective is not stated anywhere in the thesis (except the official assignment). A clear answer to the research question should be mentioned in conclusion. For that reason I assess the thesis rather good, despite the evaluation of other aspects of the thesis.

The presented theoretical background does not properly correspond with the empirical part.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

What are the author's recommendations for project managers when running a multinational/multicultural project (based on the knowledge taken from this thesis)?

Date: Sep 14, 2018 Signature of reviewer