Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student Luise KUNZE

Qualification Work Title Interregional Cooperation

Name and Type of Study Programme Regional and European Project Management / Navazující

Faculty / Department Ekonomická fakulta / KRM **Supervisor** Rentel Nadine, prof. Dr.

Reviewer doc. Ing. Eva Cudlinova CSc.

Thesis evaluation

- 1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.5
- 2. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5
- 3. Fulfillment of objectives 2.0
- 4. Methodological approach 2.0
- 5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.0
- 6. Handling of literature 1.5
- 7. Formal aspects 1.5

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

Cross border cooperation and its influence to competitiveness of Euroregions is adequate theme for theses with high level of importance for EU policy. I do appreciate the logical structure of work and careful form of citation of literature. I also like the form of cross border cooperation comparison due 7 selected indicators.

I am aware that the form of relatively short Summary of the whole work does not give a space for detailed description of method and results, in spite of that fact I have some critical comments, questions and recommendations. In my opinion author pays a lot of attention to explanation and definitions of general terms such as Euroregion, Cross -border cooperation and Competitiveness to the detriment of results. Conclusions seems to be too general, they do not give the information in which of 7 tested indicators the regions coincide and differ. I think a table of comparison could be helpful in this case. I am also not sure what were the practical case studies within the compared Euroregions which are mentioned in Summary. Anyway the whole Summary is interesting and readable.

I would like to know what are the parameters of global competitive index?

What is the main difference between method of theoretical sampling, and random sampling method?

You have mentioned that empirical secondary data were used in your work, Which kind of them? From which sources?

Date: Aug 23, 2018 Signature of reviewer