

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Luise KUNZE
Qualification Work Title	Interregional Cooperation
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Rentel Nadine, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Nadine Rentel

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

Note: The thesis is very well structured, following a clear argumentation and providing a very good theoretical background. The author focusses on the theory of border studies, explains the idea of the Euroregion and defines central notions.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: Due to the chosen research method and the reading of relevant research literature, the author can answer her main research questions and comes to interesting and relevant results for her research domain.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The author explains why she has chosen the method of a comparative case study and why it is a suitable approach. The author makes clear where the data comes from and according to which criteria she analyzed them.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The author makes some suggestions how the interregional cooperation in the analyzed regions could be improved in the future.

5. Handling of literature 1.0

Note: The author has taken into account relevant research literature and provides sources for her argumentation during data discussion as well as concerning the theoretical framing.

6. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The author uses a linguistic style that perfectly meets the norms of academic writing. The figures and tables she provides enhance the understanding for the reader.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The author has perfectly shown that she is able to structure a research problem, to apply a suitable methodology and to collect relevant data.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The thesis is convincing on all levels. Not only is it very well-structured and provides a solid theoretical background, but the author is able to take a critical position towards the existing research literature. The author has systematically analyzed relevant documents and comes to important results that could be applied in real projects of interregional cooperation. The linguistic style respects the norms of academic discourse, the formal presentation is very clear.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

In your thesis, you write that in general interregional cooperation becomes more and more important.

Can you give some examples from other regions in the world in order to illustrate that argument?

You present the state of the art in a short research review. Can you, based on this report, identify a specific lack of research in your research domain?

Date: Sep 12, 2018

Signature of supervisor