

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Paulin PTASCHEK
Qualification Work Title	Multilingualism in Multinational Study Programmes
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0

Note: The research subject is clearly defined. The author refers to relevant literature and explains the basic notions basically without critical distance. There should have been a deeper research. The author is able to conduct an empirical r

2. Fulfillment of objectives 2.5

Note: Hypotheses have been developed (p.46). The author gives relevant answers based on the analysis of the empirical data. The material would have allowed a deeper research. Lot of redundance to explain the development of the research proces

3. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: The questionnaire is clear and relevant. The documentation of the empirical data is complete and valid. The transcription is ok. The categorization is transparent. The status/ aim of chaptre 4.1 is not clear.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5

Note: The theses contributes to a new field of research on multilingualism. The data confirm the findings of the current literature and give an inside view into the specific situation of the master GPRE. The results are relevant for further stud

5. Handling of literature 2.5

Note: The author cites relevant literature. Citations are correct. The list of references is complete. There could have been a deeper research on the basic notions and on relevant scientifique literature.

6. Formal aspects 2.0

Note: The style can be accepted. There are very few mistakes. The layout is correct. Internet sources should have been seperated from literature. The date of retrieval of the sources is missing. Often redundant.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 2.0

Note: The author was able to solve the practical problems of her empirical research. She has the competence to metareflexion and auto-criticisme but this took to much place in her research.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

Le travail montre que l'auteur est capable de mener une recherche empirique. Elle a bien compris et appliqué la méthodologie et elle a réussi à lier la théorie à sa recherche empirique. Il manque par contre une recherche scientifique approfondie et critique sur les notions de bases.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

p.13 Est-ce qu'une personne est multilingue ou plurilingue?

p. 13 Pourriez-vous préciser les notions "langue étrangère", "seconde langue" (Fremdsprache/ Zweitsprache/ L1 / L2)

p.30 -35 Critique du modèle suisse: Est-ce qu'une personne peut vraiment connaître "toutes les spécificités de la civilisation du pays cible?" "... "[...] kennt alle landeskundlichen Besonderheiten des Zielsprachenlandes."

En quel sens le chapitre 4.1 est-il important pour votre recherche?

Date: Sep 09, 2018

Signature of supervisor