

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Lisa-Marie SPERL
Qualification Work Title	Multilingualism and Interculturality in International or Interregional Projects and Work Environments
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

Note: Basic notions as “virtual team” are explained through relevant literature. “Intercultural Communication” and “multilinguisme” are only mentioned. The thesis is very well structured. The method is transparent.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.5

Note: The research question is clear and has been developed on the basis of relevant literature. The author is able to answer the research question on the basis of her empirical research. The categories were built through in - and deduction.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The research is based on qualitative interview data. These data were collected in a transparent way. The questionnaire is well structured. The documentation of the empirical data is accurate and valid. The transcriptions are complete.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5

Note: The author gives a very precious inside view in how a multilingual and intercultural team is organizing its communication. Matters of multilingualism should have been treated in a more explicit way.

5. Handling of literature 2.0

Note: Relevant and up to date literature has been cited but not discussed critically. The citations are correct. The empirical work and the theoretical findings are well integrated.

6. Formal aspects 1.5

Note: The author uses a scientific style and is able to express herself clearly. There are only a few mistakes. The list of references is complete. The abstract and the layout are correct. There are problems with the layout of the list of contents

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 2.0

Note: The author analyses the data in an autonomous and careful way. She shows metareflexion and auto-criticisme.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

Lisa Sperl a effectué sa recherche de façon sensible et responsable en respectant tous les pas méthodologiques nécessaires. Sa recherche est donc très convaincante et les résultats sont valides. C'est un peu dommage que dans sa conclusion elle n'ait plus eu le temps de poser des questions critiques ou concernant des recherches futures.

Beaucoup de questions sur la politique de langue de l'entreprise et du multilinguisme spécifique du groupe de projet examiné auraient pu être posées.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Pourriez-vous décrire la situation linguistique spécifique du groupe de projet que vous avez examiné ?

Quelle est la position du chercheur en recherche qualitative ?

En quel sens avez-vous mené une recherche inductive et une recherche déductive ?

Date: Sep 16, 2018

Signature of supervisor