# Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of

Student

Kristýna NEJEDLÁ

**Qualification Work Title** 

Socio Economic Conditions for Social Business In Two Selected

Countries (France-Czech Republic)

Name and Type of Study

**Programme** 

Regional and European Project Management / Navazující

**Faculty / Department** 

Ekonomická fakulta / KRM

Supervisor

Cudlínová Eva, doc. Ing. CSc.

**Review author** 

doc. Ing. Eva Cudlinova CSc.

## Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

**Note:** Logical structure is correct 2. Fulfillment of objectives 2.0

Note: Objectives were mostly fulfilled in spite of difficulties with comparable data from both

countries

3. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: The weakness point of work was the low level of questionnaires return

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.0

Note: Contribution of work was reduced due to not adequate access to comparable data

5. Handling of literature 1.5

Note: Work with literature was sufficient

6. Formal aspects 1.5

Note: Formal aspects of work were carried out

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.5 **Note:** Student was deeply involved in solving the problem

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) negative

### Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES** 

#### **Questions and comments**

#### Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The chosen topic - comparing the conditions for social entrepreneurship in the Czech Republic and France was very difficult due to the lack of comparable data. In the Czech Republic, there is no statistics of social entrepreneurships. Another weakness of work was the low rate of return of the questionnaires - the only one of the each country. Due to these facts the results obtained have only limited validity.

Nevertheless, I appreciate the active approach of the student who worked with the topic with

creative commitment.

#### Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

I have a question as regards the interpretation of social entrepreneurship and unemployment - do you think that your results really revealed the weak connection between these two phenomenon? How could you explain the discrepancies between financial support to social entrepreneurship in Moravskoslezský and Pardubický regions. These both regions have a high level of financial support which does not reflect in number of social businesses.

Date: Sep 16, 2019 Signature of supervisor