

# Review of Master work supervisor

<b>Name and Surname of Student</b>	Eliška KRYCHOVÁ
<b>Qualification Work Title</b>	Multilingualism and interculturality in international or interregional projects and work environments
<b>Name and Type of Study Programme</b>	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
<b>Faculty / Department</b>	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
<b>Supervisor</b>	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
<b>Review author</b>	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

## Thesis evaluation

### 1. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0

**Note:** The thesis is well structured and the research question is very clearly defined. The basic notions are explained but they could have been discussed in a deeper and more critical way.

### 2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

**Note:** The author is able to give relevant answers to the research question through the analysis of her empirical data. The highly diverse data give a very interesting inside view in the perception of interculturality and multilingualism in proj

### 3. Methodological approach 1.5

**Note:** Most of the techniques, as interviewing and sequential analysis are carried out correctly. For the transcripts I miss an explanation why the french special signs have been omitted.

### 4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

**Note:** The practical contribution of the thesis is evident. The data and their analysis give an important inside view in how participants in european projects which are not familiar with interculturality and multilingualism perceive these aspe

### 5. Handling of literature 2.0

**Note:** The literature could have been more up to date. Sometimes the indications of the page for direct citations is missing.

### 6. Formal aspects 2.0

**Note:** The thesis has been written in a good academic style without a lot of errors. There are uncertainties in the list of references. Different styles and indications of pages missing.

### 7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

**Note:** The student put into practice a complex empirical setting on her own. She has been able to deal with the problem of the highly diverse interview partners.

### 8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

## Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

## **Questions and comments**

### **Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis**

The author was able to deal with a very complex empirical setting!

### **Questions and topics for discussion before the commission**

Could you explain in which way you developed your questionnaire and in which way you developed your categories?

p.17 Do we really encode thoughts into words?

p.27 Following your findings does it still make sense to talk about national cultures?

Date: Sep 18, 2019

Signature of supervisor