Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of

Student

Kateřina BENDOVÁ

Qualification Work Title

Multilingualism and interculturality in international or interregional

projects and work environments

Name and Type of Study

Programme

Regional and European Project Management / Navazující

Faculty / Department

Ekonomická fakulta / KRM

Supervisor

Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.

Review author

prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.0

Note: The research question has been clearly defined (p.40) All basic notions have been defined (theoretical part) on the basis of recent literature. The method has been explained in a transparent way (methodological part)

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: The author is able to give clear and significant answers to the research questions. In the discussion she comes also back to the cited literature and is able to discuss her findings in relation to the literature.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The author was able to apply the methods of qualitative research in an appropriate manner. She explained the use of the methods and techniques in a transparent and critical way. All empirical data have been documented in the annexe.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The contribution of the research to intercultural and multilingual studies is very precious, because it gives an in-depht-inside view in intercultural teamwork. Actually there are only very few studies in this specific field.

5. Handling of literature 1.5

Note: The sources are up to date. There could have been more direct citations in the theoretical part. The division in internet sources and literature is not clear from my point of view.

6. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The thesis is written in a very clear scientific style with only very few mistakes. All formal aspects correspond to the norms of a scientific work. The documentation of the empirical data is transparent complete.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The student was able to create a complex research setting on her own. Problems were solved in a reflected and transparent manner. The author is abel to suggest possible improvements and solutions.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) negative

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

A very mature and very well structured work!

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Could you explain the notion "content culture"?
p.66 and p.44 Could you explain the relationship between the way you developed your questionnaire and the way you developed your categories and sub-categories?
What could you observe concerning the use of Globish humor and figurative language?
Concerning a further research: How to integrate your findings and the written virtual communication of the team (e.g. emails).?

Date: Sep 16, 2019 Signature of supervisor