

Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student	Šárka DOLEŽALOVÁ
Qualification Work Title	The results of current implementation of the policy Europe 2020 on the basis of chosen indicators
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Škodová Parmová Dagmar, doc. Dr. Ing.
Reviewer	prof. Dr. Nadine Rentel

Thesis evaluation

1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.0

Note: Relevant research topic, analyzing the newest data that are available. The results could serve as a basis for improvements concerning the implementation of the policy Europe 2020.

2. Logical structure of the thesis 1.0

Note: The thesis is clearly structured, research literature is discussed in detail, the policy Europe 2020 strategy well explained, the research gap identified. The author provides possible explanations for her results.

3. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

4. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The quantitative, statistical approach seems appropriate for the topic as it concerns very much the measurement of the success factors of the policy Europe 2020 strategy. It allows to compare different EU countries.

5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

6. Handling of literature 1.0

Note: The author refers to relevant literature, both concerning the topic of the thesis and the methodological discussion. The formal handling of research literature is in accordance to the norms of academic writing.

7. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The thesis contains all relevant parts of text (list of abbreviations, figures, sources, etc.). The style corresponds to the norms of academic writing. The presentation of results, comprising graphs and figures, is clearly structured

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The topic of the thesis is well chosen as it treats a current and relevant topic. A critical evaluation of long-term strategies is important in order to analyze their benefits and to implement improvements. The focus on a quantitative methodological approach seems appropriate for the research question as it allows a transparent comparison of different EU member states. It is also interesting to explain the identified differences between the member states, concerning selected criteria. However, the analysis could perhaps be completed by a qualitative approach > suggestion for further research as it would have been beyond the scope of the Master thesis.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Do you think that it would make sense to complete your methodological approach by implementing qualitative research methods?

In your opinion, what could be possible shortcomings of a purely quantitative approach?

Date: Sep 18, 2020

Signature of reviewer