

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Gaëlle Reine Ingke GIBON
Qualification Work Title	Migration and regional identity
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0

Note: The research question has been clearly formulated. The basic notions have been discussed. It is not clear why the guideline has been constructed in the present manner.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.5

Note: The author was able to answer the initial question. But as the interviewees are not very sensitive to the concept of "regionality" the author could have changed the focus of the research. But this is a very difficult question.

3. Methodological approach 1.5

Note: The author is able to conduct interviews, to transcribe them in an adequate manner and to integrate the findings in the analysis.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.0

Note: In the context of migration the question is relevant. Is it easier for migrants to integrate in or to identify with a specific region? Which are the conditions for such processes? The author could have gone deeper.

5. Handling of literature 3.0

Note: The literature is relevant but not always up to date. The author does not specify the page numbers for direct and indirect quotations. The references in the text don't follow a clear system.

6. Formal aspects 1.5

Note: The graphical layout is correct. The thesis is written in a scientific style, easy to read but with some mistakes.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.5

Note: The author shows that she is able to approach a topic which has not been approached before and that she is able to get access to a completely new field.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

When the author became aware that her interviewees are not sensitive to the concept of "regionality" she should have changed her guideline. I think she had not enough time to reflect on this difficulty.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Please explain the construction of your guideline from a methodological point of view.

Your interviewees are not very sensitive to the concept of "regionality". Why? Do you have any assumptions as to why this is so?

Is a strong regional identity favourable or unfavourable to integration. Can you answer this question on the basis of your findings?

Date: Sep 22, 2020

Signature of supervisor