

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Maryna RADCHUK
Qualification Work Title	Regional identity and linguistic identity
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.0

Note: The thesis is structured in a very clear way. The different steps are well explained and transparent. All the key notions are defined on the basis of relevant and up to date literature and from the perspective of different disciplines.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: The author comes to relevant inside-views and conclusions, always discussed in relation to the findings in the literature. The research question was fully answered.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: the interview questions are deduced from the literature. The construction of the guideline is clearly explained and follows the common methodological principles. The interviews are well conducted. Inductive categories are added.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The thesis rises very important questions on how multilingual people perceive their identity within a globalized Europe. These questions are important for future discussions about the way how to promote a European identity.

5. Handling of literature 1.0

Note: The literature is relevant and up to date. The references and citations are correct.

6. Formal aspects 1.5

Note: The thesis is written in a very good scientific style with only very few mistakes. The formal apparatus is correct.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The student demonstrated the ability to reflect and argue scientifically at a very high level.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The thesis could be published with only few revisions.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Can you explain the notion of linguistic "passing" in the context of your work.

The term "hybridity" used in the context of culture, language or identity is currently often criticized.

Can you imagine why?

In your conclusion you made an allusion to the political impact of your findings. Can you deepen this aspect.

Date: Sep 22, 2020

Signature of supervisor