Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student Léa CHAUVET

Qualification Work Title Experience with running projects with help of EU or other

public funding

Name and Type of Study

Programme

Regional and European Project Management / Navazující

Faculty / Department Ekonomická fakulta / KOD **Supervisor** Pícha Kamil, doc. lng. Ph.D.

Review author doc. Ing. Kamil Picha Ph.D., MBA.

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

2. Fulfillment of objectives 3.0

Note: The initial hypotheses were verified. However, the initial hypotheses were not fully in accordance with the initial objective. Hypotheses should international projects, not only generally "projects".

3. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: The qualitative approach was very well adopted. The issue was the accordance with the objective. Three interviews could be not enough to fulfill the objective in this very case of choicing three persons from the same department.

- 4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.5
- 5. Handling of literature 3.0

Note: The review of literature was unsufficient from both the point of view of the intended research and the availability of significant number of research papers.

6. Formal aspects 2.0

Note: There are some formal mistakes, some mistypings and some incomplete phrases.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The student worked self-reliantly, she formulated the problem and adopted methodology on her own.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The aim of the thesis was to assess experience of universities with preparing and running crossborder or international projects, to identify examples of best practice as well as problems and challanges and propose preventive measurements and ways of facing challenges. The choice of the interviewees was only at one university and only in one office - office of projects and international co-operation.

We cannot verify any hypothesis based on a comparison between the review of literature and the findings from our research. We have to verify hypotheses only based our analyses.

I appreciate that the student has addressed also the topical issue of the pandemics.

The thesis is to short. The master thesis should be more extended.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

How long have the interviewees been experienced with international projects?

Could we really conclude that there is no best practice to solve problems (pas de "solution-type" pour résoudre des problèmes")?

Is there any best practice example of how to prevent problems of the preparing and running international projects?

Date: Sep 19, 2020 Signature of supervisor