



OPPONENT'S REVIEW ON BACHELOR THESIS

Name of the student: Stemmer Vitus

Thesis title: Production of recombinant proteins using prokaryotic and eukaryotic expression systems

Supervisor: RNDr. Ján Štěrba, Ph.D.

Co-supervisor: Kateryna Kotsarenko, MSc. Ph.D.

Referee: Pavel Grinkevich, Ph.D.

Referee's affiliation: University of South Bohemia

	Point scale ¹	Points
(1) FORMAL REQUIREMENTS		
Extent of the thesis (for bachelor theses min. 18 pages, for master's theses min. 25 pages), balanced length of the thesis parts (recommended length of the theoretical part is max. 1/3 of the total length), logical structure of the thesis	0-3	3
Quality of the theoretical part (review) (number and relevancy of the references, recency of the references)	0-3	3
Accuracy in citing of the references (presence of uncited sources, uniform style of the references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations)	0-3	3
Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables	0-3	2
Quality of the annotation	0-3	3
Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology	0-3	3
Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units)	0-3	3
Formal requirements – points in total		20
(2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS		
Clarity and fulfillment of the aims	0-3	3
Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions	0-3	3
Discussion quality – interpretation of the results and their discussion with the literature (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable)	0-3	2
Logic in the course of the experimental work	0-3	3

¹ Mark as: 0-unsatisfactory, 1-satisfactory, 2-average, 3-excellent.

Completeness of the description of the used techniques	0-3	3
Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work	0-3	2
Quality of experimental data presentation	0-3	3
The use of up-to-date techniques	0-3	3
Contribution of the thesis to the knowledge in the field and possibility to publish the results (after eventual supplementary experiments)	0-3	3
Practical requirements – points in total		25

POINTS IN TOTAL (MAX/AWARDED)	45	(0-48)²
--------------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------

The bachelor's thesis presented here by Stemmer Vitus deals with the search for the optimal production conditions of PNGase H⁺ and the partial amplification of the genes that code for fucosyltransferase, α 2,3-sialyltransferase α 2,6-sialtransferase and DNA methyltransferases from *Ixodes ricinus*. Stemmer participated in all the stages of recombinant protein production, such as vector design, cloning and expression optimization. He also attempted to isolate the PNGase H⁺ using affinity chromatography and test its enzymatic activity.

The thesis is clearly structured and well written in a mostly concise language that aligns well with the scientific writing standards.

There were a few typos and inconsistencies:

- Abstract, last line: *I. ricinus* is not italicized.
- P. 7, line 7 "The growth was": it is better to say "The culture was" or "The aliquot was".

Overall formatting remarks:

- The same font size and face as the main text was used for the page headers and footers, figures and tables descriptions which makes it a bit harder to read (a bit of nitpicking here, I admit).

Questions:

1. Are there any other explanations as to why the fragment for α 2,3-sialyltransferase amplified in the confirmation PCR was much longer than expected?
2. Did you try to perform Western blot to confirm the presence of PNGase H⁺ in the purified fractions?
3. Is the overall protein concentration in cell lysates a good indication of the production of a given recombinant protein?

² Enter the number of points awarded.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, I recommend the thesis for the defense and I suggest the grade 1 .

Ceske Budejovice,
14 September 2020

