

Assessment proposed by the supervisor: GRANT FOR DEFENSE (NO QUESTIONS)  
Assessment proposed by the reviewer: GRANT FOR DEFENSE (7 QUESTIONS)

Course of the defence:

DEFINITION OF FILE VERSIONS -  
- COMMITTEE DISCUSSION - FASTQC

WHY YOU CHOSE THE UTILITY YOU CHOSE.  
TESTING - WAS IT TESTED, DIFFERENCES  
OF VALUES.

CRITERION TO WORK BETTER - SEQUENCING  
OF mRNA IS NOT VERY LONG.

VELVET - WHY YOU CHOSE IT HERE.  
THERE ARE SWs BETTER FOR THIS USAGE.  
DATA PREPROCESSING.

Score: .....

Assessment: VERY GOOD

Date of defence: 24.7.2020



.....  
committee chairman