

Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student	Tereza KASTNEROVÁ
Qualification Work Title	RPA Project Management
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KEN
Supervisor	Škodová Parmová Dagmar, doc. Dr. Ing.
Reviewer	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

- 1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.0
Note: The topic is of high importance. The implementation of automation is a new field which has to be explored from the point of view of project management. The author tries to approach the field through a qualitative research and theoretical res
- 2. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0
Note: The basic notions are well explained. The research question has been well formulated. The theoretical chapter about Scrum is not well integrated in the empirical part.. The motivation for the subject should be part of the introduction.
- 3. Fulfillment of objectives 1.5
Note: The objective to get an inside view into the processes of the implementation of RoboticAutomation are fulfilled. The analysis of the empirical data and the critical discussion show the advantages and disadvantages of such processes.
- 4. Methodological approach 2.0
Note: The empirical data are well structured. Every step is transparent.. I could not find the czech transcriptions of the interviews in the annexe. It is not clear how the categories have been developed.
- 5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5
Note: The theoretical and empirical parts give a very interesting introduction into the field. The relation to project management could have been pointed out more clearly.
- 6. Handling of literature 1.0
Note: Up to date literature has been used. The whole formal apparatus is correct. I miss direct citations.
- 7. Formal aspects 2.0
Note: The thesis is written in a good scientific style. There are small errors in English and some redundances.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

see the questions

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

In your thesis you explain that you got your categories from the interviews. But they figure already in your questionnaire. Could you please explain your understanding and handling of your categories.

Please explain the importance of the chapter about Scrum for your empirical research.

Date: Aug 30, 2021

Signature of reviewer