

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Nelly Wendpanga COMPAORÉ
Qualification Work Title	Virtual Communication and project-work
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

- 1. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0
Note: The research question was clearly formulated and the author is able to give relevant answers. The research consists of two different parts. It would have been better to concentrate on the qualitative part. All key terms were well defined
- 2. Fulfillment of objectives 2.0
Note: The interesting concept of virtual body language was not examined in the empirical part. Other theoretical concepts are taken into consideration. The analysis of the interviews could have been more detailed.
- 3. Methodological approach 2.5
Note: The author is able to employ scientific methods. The guidelines of the interviews and the sequential analysis are very well developed. Some details (application of GAT2) are not correct. The development of the categories was not explained
- 4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5
Note: The thesis gives an inside view in the project work of intercultural and international teams during the pandemic. The author is able to give recommendations for the future use of remote work in intercultural and international project work
- 5. Handling of literature 1.0
Note: The author uses relevant and up-to-date literature. References and citations are correct. The sources discuss questions of remote work and virtual communication from a very new perspective due to the pandemic.
- 6. Formal aspects 1.5
Note: Good scientific style with some mistakes in English. The formal apparatus is correct and includes all necessary parts.
- 7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.5
Note: The student was able to gather relevant empirical data in short time at her workplace. Her way to proceed shows that she had got a detailed inside view in the difficulties of the specific project work of the organisation.
- 8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The student was able to give a very interesting inside view in the organisation of remote work in the project teams at her workplace.

The study consists of a qualitative and a quantitative part. The parts are slightly linked together but it would have been more fruitful to exploit the qualitative data in a much more detailed way using the very interesting categories found in the literature and in the interviews.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Please explain the main characteristics of Digital Body Language and consider them from a critical and intercultural point of view.

How did you proceed to develop the categories for your analysis?

Why did you split your research in a qualitative and a quantitative part?

Date: Sep 04, 2021

Signature of supervisor