

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Markéta ČAPKOVÁ
Qualification Work Title	Principles of circular economy in the operation of a school
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KOD
Supervisor	Martíšková Petra, Ing. Ph.D.
Review author	Ing. Petra Martiskova Ph.D.

Thesis evaluation

- 1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.0
Note: The main structure is logical, in accordance with the standard structure of a master thesis as well with the author's methodological approach.
- 2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0
Note: See comments below.
- 3. Methodological approach 1.5
- 4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 2.0
Note: Theoretical implications are rather missing in the thesis. On the other hand, there many practical findings that could be followed by the surveyed school and even by other school establishments.
- 5. Handling of literature 1.5
Note: The author used both professional and scientific sources of literature, the theoretical backgrounds lack more rigorousness. The research of literature is sometimes too wide and not as detailed.
- 6. Formal aspects 1.5
Note: There are some imperfections throughout the thesis. Some citations are not done properly according to the prescribed citation norm (for example initials in the in-text citations).
- 7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0
Note: The author supported her topic with the internship where she actually worked on the required analysis and the school needed and got valuable results.
- 8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**
I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

The author opted for the topic of thesis based on her interest and the content of her internship. She thoroughly discussed her approach and consulted their steps. I would like to appreciate particularly the extent of the analyses as well as the practical results. The main contribution is the general manual that can be used by other schools.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Are the author's suggestions feasible for the analysed school and is the director of the school able to take the measures needed, or are there some limitations for the school?

Where does the author see the difference between environmental management and circular economy? Did she suggest some measures that can be considered not only within the environmental management but with the idea of the circular economy?

Date: Sep 20, 2021

Signature of supervisor