

Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student	Bettina SCHNEIDER
Qualification Work Title	Decision-Making in Cross-Border Cooperation in Times of the COVID-19 Pandemic
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Rentel Nadine, prof. Dr.
Reviewer	doc. Ing. Eva Cudlinova CSc.

Thesis evaluation

1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.0

Note: It is a very actual and important topic as regards present situation of COVID pandemic.

2. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0

Note: I suppose that the aim and main goals ought to be mentioned at the beginning of work, ideally in the own separate chapter or subchapter instead of mentioning within different part of the work itself.

3. Fulfillment of objectives 1.5

Note: All objectives are fulfilled.

4. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: Methodology is well described, but my comments deals with the case that some parts of methodology are defined also in other chapters than methodology itself.

5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: Methodological part is a very detailed and precisely described.

6. Handling of literature 1.0

Note: Literature quotation and its use in work is more than perfect. The author quoted many authors and theoretical background is more than sufficient

7. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The whole work is perfect from formal point of view as regards graphs, tables, summary list etc.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

This paper aims to create an understanding of how the decision-making process has changed and seeks to provide an overview of the current state of research on the topic of virtual team collaboration. It is a work with a really good theoretical background based on a perfect study of literature

dealing with this topic.

I have just a few comments:

1) In the part of Introduction or Methods it is not defined what types of cross-border cooperation are involved, which experts and the projects are selected for research and which methods of selection is used. All this information are unfortunately mentioned later within different parts of the text.

2) I suppose that the aim and main goals as same as the topic of study- projects, experts and regions- ought to be mentioned at the beginning of work , ideally in the own separate chapter or subchapter instead of mentioning within different part of the work itself.

3) In some parts the work blends theory and the practical part of the research with the results - it would be good to separate the theory - into methods

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

1) What was the method of selecting experts and the projects for research? . Was it a snowball technique?

2) What had the biggest impact on the nature of the answers of experts? Project type? Age? position in a project management?

3) What has proven to be the biggest disadvantage of the online collaboration?

Date: Nov 27, 2021

Signature of reviewer