

Assessment proposed by the supervisor: *Recommended*
Assessment proposed by the reviewer: *Recommended, very good*

Course of the defence:

1. ~~THESE~~ INTRODUCTION
2. SUPERVISOR REPORT
3. OPONENT STATEMENT
4. QUESTIONS FROM AUDIENCE
AFTER QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS

- WHAT DID YOU EXPECT AS POSITIVE RESULTS?
- WHAT WAS THE REASON TO USE CRISPR TECHNIQUE?
- CAN YOU IMAGINE HOW THIS METHOD CAN HELP IN THE TICKET RESEARCH?
- DID YOU CHECK THE SEQUENCE?
- RNA TRANSCRIPTION - ANY OPTIMIZATION?
- WHAT WAS THE GEL CONCENTRATION - missing info in thesis?

Hinger

Tylenova
Crispr

Score: *85%*

Assessment: *4x very good, 1x good*

Date of defence: *26.01.2021*

Kuta' SA

committee chairman