

Assessment proposed by the supervisor:

Assessment proposed by the reviewer:

Course of the defence:

1. Introduction of the thesis by the candidate
 2. Evaluation of the supervisor P. Velez'. (very positive)
 3. Report of the 1st reviewer (P. Linhart)
 - Responses of the candidate are clear and well formulated.
 4. Report of the absent reviewer - G
 5. Committee members comments
 - Hrček: What would be possible extension of the experiment?
 - Leščák: Would using more attractive food change the results?
 - Blesík: Points about statistics and presentation
- The candidate responds very well to all the questions.

Score: 1.2

Assessment: Excellent

Date of defence: 25/5/2021



 committee chairman