

Assessment proposed by the supervisor:

Assessment proposed by the reviewer:

Course of the defence:

1. Introduction of the thesis by the candidate
2. Evaluation of the supervisor (K Sam) - very pos. h
3. Report of the 1st reviewer (Riegert)

Responses were very clear and informed

4. Report of the absent reviewer (J. Reif)
- ~~no~~ Responses against very informell

5. Questions from the committee ~~members~~ members.

Blesch: Mechanism of understory effects on the habitat selection

Fuchs: Definition of habitat types

Hreeb: Comments on forest typology

Vesely: Bird guilds vs. habitat types

- 6 Public: Excluding some data?

Score: 1.00

Assessment: Excellent

Date of defence: 25/5/2021

Jan G
.....
committee chairman