

Review of Master work reviewer

Name and Surname of Student	Christelle MIRAMON
Qualification Work Title	Multilingualism and interculturality in international or interregional projects and work environments
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Reviewer	doc. Ing. Kamil Picha Ph.D., MBA.

Thesis evaluation

1. Importance and difficulty of the topic 1.0

2. Logical structure of the thesis 2.0

3. Fulfillment of objectives 2.0

Note: The objective is to analyse methods and processes of communication with an international working team which is not concentrated to one place. (It appears, the team members work at two places, in to different countries. Hard to say.)

4. Methodological approach 2.0

Note: The methodological approach is generally clear and appropriate. The selection of interviewees cannot be called random (alléatoire). Apparently, all team members were asked and only two were willing to be interviewed.

5. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5

Note: The discussion bring some interesting theoretical and practical contribution even they are not explicitly concluded.

6. Handling of literature 2.0

Note: Literature is numerous and suitable but not as up-to-date as it could be. Particularly virtual communication has been widely addressed recently by many scholars.

7. Formal aspects 2.0

Note: The thesis is not well arranged. Some formal insufficiencies decrease the overall quality of thesis. Chapter 4.6 follows the chapter 5.3, which can be seen already in the table of contents. Annexes are valuable for completing the thesis.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

A link with a research question is mentioned several time in the text of the thesis. As the methodology description is not well arranged, it is difficult to understand it and I have not manage a

clearly stated research question. It is not clear, if the focus group as analysed in the thesis was directly linked to the topic and objective of the thesis or what the topic of the thesis was. Nobody from the team WHZ was interviewed and the reason for that is not clear.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

Do you have any advice for future students participating in such a project?

Do you have any advice for professors organizing such projects and supervising their students?

Date: Sep 18, 2022

Signature of reviewer