

# Review of Master work supervisor

<b>Name and Surname of Student</b>	Emma SCHWITTER
<b>Qualification Work Title</b>	Regional and linguistic identity
<b>Name and Type of Study Programme</b>	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
<b>Faculty / Department</b>	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
<b>Supervisor</b>	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
<b>Review author</b>	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

## Thesis evaluation

### 1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

**Note:** The basic notions “identity”, “regional identity” and “Ch’ti” are discussed. Partly relevant literature. It is not necessary to give an overview of the development of the discussion of the notion “identity” in France from the 70th.

### 2. Fulfillment of objectives 2.0

**Note:** The author succeeds in giving differentiated answers to the research question. The interviews are well guided. In the discussion, the author could have tied the results more back to theory.

### 3. Methodological approach 2.0

**Note:** The transcripts and sequential analysis are complete. Conventions for the transcription are missing. The author does not explain how she comes to the categories analysed in the “Results” and the “Discussion”. The results are well docum

### 4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.5

**Note:** The paper provides a theoretical insight into the development of the Ch’ti and a practical insight into how the interviewees negotiate their identity as a Ch’ti with regard to this specific “patois” and other factors.

### 5. Handling of literature 2.5

**Note:** The author uses relevant literature but also non-scientific sources. The textual apparatus is correct. Citations and references are used in a correct manner. There are many inconsistencies in the bibliography.

### 6. Formal aspects 2.0

**Note:** The theses is written in a correct scientific style. In some of the transcripts the format of the PDF is not correct. Annex III absolutely does not belong to the thesis.

### 7. Student’s own contribution to the studied problems 1.5

**Note:** The student was able to solve problems and to develop the qualitative research setting self-reliantly.

### 8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

## Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **very good**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

## Questions and comments

## **Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis**

The student cites some very interesting literature, e.g. di Meo 2016 but it is not entirely clear how she integrates the categories from the literature into her work, although various aspects do show up in the discussion.

## **Questions and topics for discussion before the commission**

Di Meo (2016) identifies three aspects of territoriality: political, socioeconomical/ cultural and subjective. In which way do these aspects show up in the interviews you conducted?

Which role does the status of Ch'ti in France play in identifying as a Ch'ti? What affects the status of a "patois"?

How did you develop the categories for your analysis?

Date: Sep 16, 2022

Signature of supervisor