

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Iva BOSZCZYKOVÁ
Qualification Work Title	The challenges of multilingual and intercultural team collaboration. A case study of KAM organization.
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Rentel Nadine, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Nadine Rentel

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.0

Note: The thesis is clearly structured, with the author explaining her research topic and its relevance and making her methodological framework transparent. She discusses key notions for her research and selects them according to her topic.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: The central research question, e.g. the identification of challenges of multilingual and intercultural team collaboration, is answered in depth in the thesis, based on the detailed analysis and a convincing interpretation of the data.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The author systematically explains and justifies her methodological approach and refers to her strategy in gathering the data and categorizing them.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The research question itself is not absolutely new, but the data, anchored in a specific setting and focussing on an American-Czech context, allow to draw relevant solutions.

5. Handling of literature 1.0

Note: The author has done a lot of reading in the field. The critical discussion of approaches found in the literature is convincing and always with regards to the research question.

6. Formal aspects 1.0

Note: The formal presentation as well as the style correspond absolutely to the expectations.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The author shows that she is able to choose a research method suitable to solve a specific research problem. She shows a good critical awareness when it comes to evaluate previous research.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

Even if the topic is not completely new, the author identifies a clearly limited research question and anchors her research in a specific NGO context, focussing on an American-Czech context. She selects key concepts and definitions with a strong focus on her research question and shows that she is able to evaluate previous research critically. Concerning the choice of definitions as well as the methodological approach, she makes her decisions transparent. The handling and the interpretation of the data is convincing, especially the contextualization in the context of previous studies.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

What is the benefit of transcribing your interviews following the GAT system?

What are, in your opinion, the strenghts and the weaknesses of your research?

How far do you think that your results are valid for different contets of intercultural team work, and in which way do they depend on the specific type of organization and/or the cultures involved?

Date: Aug 30, 2022

Signature of supervisor