Confidential

| Review of USB RIFCH PhD Thesis | | |
|--|---|--|
| Surname of the PhD student: Ing. Vlastimil Stejskal | Name of supervisor: doc. Ing. Jan Kouřil, Ph.D. | |
| Title of PhD thesis: Intensive culture and nutrition of Eurasian perch (<i>Perca fluviatilis</i> L.) fingerlings | | |
| REVIEWER: | | |
| Surname: Siwicki | Institution: Department Fish Pelhology | |
| Name: Andrzej | Notional Interpolitisheres Mithile | |
| Titles: prof. | E-mail: alsiwannish.com.pl | |
| Please describe your professional relationship to the PhD student: | Please describe your field of expertise: | |
| QUESTIONNAIRE | | |
| Originality, scientific importance, prospects of the PhD thesis and benefits for basic or applied research Evaluate its competitiveness in the international context and compare its level with the current state of the art in the field: Very original and importance problem in oghaculture. This is the problem of olders of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality, scientific importance, prospects of the PhD thesis and benefits for basic or applied research Evaluate its competitiveness in the international context and compare its level with the current state of the art in the field: The originality, scientific importance, prospects of the PhD thesis and benefits for basic or applied research Evaluate its competitiveness in the international context and compare its level with the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the field: The originality of the problem in the current state of the art in the | | |
| Preparation of the PhD thesis, targets of the work and deliverables Evaluate the overall level of preparation of the PhD thesis and the originality of the selected approaches; evaluate publications and whether the targets set in the PhD thesis correspond with the declared purpose of the thesis: This PhD Hesis is original normal proporated wary selected with all seemble means the first corresponded with the declared purpose of the thesis. The declared purpose the first corresponded with the declared warp selected with the declared purpose the first correspondence of the thesis. | | |
| OVERALL COMMENTARY ON THE PhD THESIS | | |
| Please write comments: Juportent and rind with hight practical | teresting PhDtheris | |
| | | |

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

| can be recommended for defence of PhD Thesis can be recommended with reservations for defence of PhD Thesis can not be recommended for defence of PhD Thesis | | |
|--|--------|---|
| 21.05.2009 | Olstyn | MIKROBIOLOGII I MALI TOSMI LINIOZNEJ |
| Date and place | | prof. dr nab. Andrzej K. Swicki surmame zamensignature |

· 3

.