

Assessment proposed by the supervisor of PhD thesis:

Assessment proposed by the reviewer of PhD thesis:

Course of the defence:

THE SUPERVISOR INTRODUCED THE STUDENT. THE STUDENT PRESENTED THE RESULTS OF HIS DISSERTATION TO THE COMMITTEE. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE AND THE OPPONENT ACQUAINTED THE COMMITTEE WITH OPPONENT'S REVIEWS. THE STUDENT THEN ANSWERED ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS:

WHY DID YOU CHOOSE ^{TILAPIA} ~~FOR~~ FOR TESTING AQUAPONICS SUITABILITY?

WHICH METHODS DID YOU USE FOR INCREASING DIGESTIBILITY OF PHOSPHORUS?

IS THE CONCEPT OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY IN AQUACULTURE ACCEPTABLE BY THE BROADER PUBLIC?

WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE NUTRIENT FLOW IN CZECH AQUACULTURE.

WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE ROLE OF PONDS IN EUTROPHICATION PROCESSES LEADING TO WATER BLOOMS?

Assessment:

PASSED

Defence date:

30.3.2022



signature of Committee chairperson