



POSUDEK OPONENTA DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE

Studijní obor: **uCJL - uAJL**

Název práce: **Adjective position in Old English and Middle English homilies and sermons**

Autorka práce: **Bc. Alexandra Iličová**

Vedoucí bakalářské práce: **Mgr. Jana Kozubíková Šandová, Ph.D.**

Oponent diplomové práce: **Mgr. Petr Kos, Ph.D.**

Quality of Research

1) Please rate the following statements about the thesis on a scale of 1 (insufficient) to 3 (excellent)

1. The topic of the thesis is suitable for the scope and well defined: 3
2. Sets clear goals or questions for research: 3
3. Delivers significant research findings: 3
4. Demonstrates conceptual clarity and accuracy: 3
5. Demonstrates a good understanding of the linguistic methodology work(s) discussed: 3
6. Demonstrates a good understanding of the critical field: 3
7. Engages with sufficient scholarship in a meaningful way: 3
8. Shows independent thinking and originality: 3

2) Comment shortly on the quality of the research presented in the thesis:

The author demonstrates a high level of scholarship in her thesis. She takes over findings and classification from an expert in the field of historical linguistics (Olga Fischer) and applies them on OE and ME corpora, namely those of homilies and sermons. The justification for the selection of these specific corpora lies in the aim to compare the general tendencies described by Fischer with her own results of the analysis of specific samples. This requires a good understanding of not only the phenomenon dealt with in the thesis but also the methodology applied. It should also be noted that the author had to grasp a good understanding of OE and ME texts, something that goes beyond the standard of MA students.

Quality of Writing

1) Please rate the following statements about the thesis on a scale of 1 (insufficient) to 3 (excellent)

1. Has a clear and suitable structure: 3
2. Employs appropriate register and academic style: 3
3. Uses correct and relevant terminology: 3
4. Adheres to academic thesis guidelines, format and MLA style guide: 3
5. Written in correct and fluent English (both grammar and vocabulary): 3
6. Carefully edited with few typos or mistakes: 3

2) Comment shortly on the quality of the writing presented in the thesis:

The thesis reads very well, has a clear and logical structure, and also the results are presented clearly. The level of English is of a very high standard with few mistakes only.



In general, the whole thesis is presented in a way that is comparable to work by renowned authors.

Overall Review and Assessment

(short description of the thesis and its findings, what has been achieved, and areas for improvement)

The thesis deals with the variability of adjectival position in noun phrases in OE and ME focusing on the changes in the position between these two periods. The methodology applied is suitable, and the analysis is accurate. The result may prove relevant to other researchers in the field.

Questions for Defence

(please provide two questions for the student to answer during their defence)

You consciously avoided poetic texts in your analysis. Do you think that such texts would demonstrate different tendencies? If so, why?

Práci doporučuji k obhajobě.

Navrhovaná klasifikace: **v ý b o r n ě**

14. 8. 2023

Datum

Podpis