

Review of Master work supervisor

Name and Surname of Student	Hannah Theresa KRÄMER
Qualification Work Title	Multilingualism and Interculturality in International or Interregional Projects and Work Environments
Name and Type of Study Programme	Regional and European Project Management / Navazující
Faculty / Department	Ekonomická fakulta / KRM
Supervisor	Fetscher Doris, prof. Dr.
Review author	prof. Dr. Doris Fetscher

Thesis evaluation

1. Logical structure of the thesis 1.5

Note: The structure of the thesis is logical. All scientific terms are well defined and discussed in relation to each other. The association should have been shortly presented for a better understanding.

2. Fulfillment of objectives 1.0

Note: The research questions could be fully answered. The objective to give an inside view in the multilingual strategies of a virtual project team in relation to the findings in the literature is fulfilled.

3. Methodological approach 1.0

Note: The student made all the steps of the methodological approach transparent. The method does absolute justice to the empirical material. The student has developed the methodological approach independently.

4. Assessment of theoretical and/or practical contribution of the thesis 1.0

Note: The work gives a very detailed inside view into the multilingual communication of a small multinational project team. It shows the evolution of specific communication strategies within the team and puts them in relation to the theory.

5. Handling of literature 2.0

Note: In a lot of indirect citations the indication of the page is missing. The author could have used more direct citations. The references are correct.

6. Formal aspects 1.5

Note: The list of references is correct. The work is complete. It is written in a correct scientific style with only few mistakes. No justification. The transcripts are complete and correct.

7. Student's own contribution to the studied problems 1.0

Note: The work is very committed. The student shows that she has penetrated her topic theoretically and methodologically very well.

8. Monitoring for plagiarism (result) **negative**

I solemnly declare that I have no affiliation with the author and opponent of the thesis/bachelor's thesis.

Conclusion

Thesis evaluation (note): **excellent**

I recommend the thesis for defence: **YES**

Questions and comments

Critical comments and overall contributions, total value of the thesis

nothing to add.... nearly perfect!

A very mature work.

Questions and topics for discussion before the commission

page 55, what are the criteria for your statement, that German and French are institutionalised within the association.

page 49, do you think that the team you observed developed an interlanguage?

to what extent can your approach be considered as a triangulation?

English as a multi-linguafranca: which are the advantages of such a concept? Are there also critical aspects?

Date: Sep 20, 2023

Signature of supervisor